

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/963,942	09/26/2001	Daniel Blaukopf	P-3600-US	9894		
27130	7590 07/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
EITAN, PEARL, LATZER & COHEN ZEDEK LLP 10 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE 1001 NEW YORK, NY 10020			ZHEN	ZHEN, LI B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		2126			
		•	DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	,		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/963,9	942	BLAUKOPF ET A	L.			
		Examine	ır	Art Unit				
		Li B. Zhe	n	2126	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 26 September	2001.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	, 							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by the Ex							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/963,942 Page 2

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 – 15 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent NO. 6,061,714 to Housel.
- 4. As to claim 1, Housel teaches a method of establishing mediation [initiating a communication session by transmitting a request from the client protocol conversion application to the server protocol conversion application over the external communication link; col. 3, lines 38 57] between a first [terminal application 36, Fig. 1; col. 7, lines 43 54] and second application [host application 42, Fig. 1; col. 7, lines 55 65] comprising linking a first mediation module [protocol interceptor40] to the first application [terminal emulator application side protocol interceptor 40 receives the transmitted differenced communication protocol data stream at first computer 20; col. 9,

Art Unit: 2126

lines 4 – 13] and linking a second mediation module [protocol interceptor 46] to the second application [protocol interceptor 46 intercepts a terminal emulator protocol data stream from host application 42; col. 10, lines 19 – 37].

- 5. As to claim 4, Housel teaches a method of performing a function [transmitting a request from the client protocol conversion application to the server protocol conversion application; col. 3, lines 39 57] comprising initiating a mediation module [protocol interceptor 46; col. 10, lines 19 37] associated with an application [host application 42, Fig. 1; col. 7, lines 55 65] containing the function and passing through the mediation module a command instruction to the application [control field is removed from the terminal emulator protocol data stream by protocol interceptor 46 before passing the stream on to host application 42; col. 12, lines 38 63].
- 6. As to claim 10, Housel teaches a computing platform and an application [transmitting a request from the client protocol conversion application to the server protocol conversion application; col. 3, lines 39 57] having a linked mediation module [protocol interceptor 46, col. 10, lines 19 37; host application 42, Fig. 1, col. 7, lines 55 65] adapted to translate command instructions from the application into a stream protocol [Host protocol interceptor 46 then converts or transforms the terminal emulator protocol data stream to a differenced communication protocol data stream; col. 8, lines 45 67].

Application/Control Number: 09/963,942 Page 4

Art Unit: 2126

7. Claims 1 – 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent NO. 5,491,800 to Goldsmith.

- 8. As to claim 1, Goldsmith teaches a method of establishing mediation [networking service facility (NSF) interface for implementing communication between application programs residing in client and server nodes of a distributed services network; col. 4, lines 43 57] between a first [application 714, Fig. 7; col. 11, lines 8 19] and second application [task application 754, Fig. 7; col. 11, lines 45 60] comprising linking a first mediation module [creating an RPC object 716 and an application programming interface (API) object 718 within the process address space 712; col. 11, lines 8 19] to the first application [an application 714; col. 11, lines 8 19] and linking a second mediation module [RPC object 756 and an API object 758] to the second application [a task application 754 communicates with the CSF interface 760 by creating an RPC object 756 and an API object 758 within its process address space 752; col. 11, lines 45 60].
- 9. As to claim 4, Goldsmith teaches a method of performing a function [invoke an RPC service request; col. 5, lines 15 33] comprising initiating a mediation module [a task application 754 communicates with the CSF interface 760 by creating an RPC object 756 and an API object 758 within its process address space 752; col. 11, lines 45 60] associated with an application containing the function [task application 754] and passing through the mediation module a command instruction to the application [the

Art Unit: 2126

dispatcher object, and its associated methods, extract the remote request, execute the service and, if necessary, return a reply; col. 5, lines 15 - 33].

- 10. As to claim 10, Goldsmith teaches a system comprising a computing platform and an application [application 714, Fig. 7; col. 11, lines 8 19] having a linked mediation module [creating an RPC object 716 and an application programming interface (API) object 718 within the process address space 712; col. 11, lines 8 19] adapted to translate command instructions from the application into a stream protocol [translator enables the "client" protocol stack to communicate with a server protocol stack over a network communications channel; col. 15, line 52 col. 16, line 8].
- 11. As to claim 2, Goldsmith teaches the first mediation module executes a command producing an instance of the second application [RPC objects comprise caller/dispatcher objects which, once instantiated, provide high-level, "client-server communication" protocol requests, and transport objects for selecting transport mechanisms; col. 4, lines 57 65].
- 12. As to claim 3, Goldsmith teaches the first application provides the second application with communication parameters [class defines characteristics of the transport mechanism including, inter aria, the argument and result streams; col. 14, lines 29 43].

Art Unit: 2126

13. As to claim 5, Goldsmith teaches the translation of the command instruction into a stream protocol [translator enables the "client" protocol stack to communicate with a server protocol stack over a network communications channel; col. 15, line 52 – col. 16, line 8].

Page 6

- 14. As to claim 6, Goldsmith teaches the translation of a result of the command instruction into a stream protocol [If a reply is required, it is returned by the dispatcher by inserting the reply onto the data stream at step 1522. The reply is passed down the protocol stack and the (previous) source address is appended to the reply as a destination address; col. 18, lines 47 60].
- 15. As to claim 7, Goldsmith teaches performing error detection [causes the compiler to generate an error during program compilation which error stops the compilation process; col. 8, lines 8 27] and synchronization checks on the stream protocol when it is received [API objects to provide a synchronous client/server transport service between remote nodes; col. 12, lines 8 19].
- 16. As to claim 8, Goldsmith teaches passing multiple command instructions substantially simultaneously [request/reply" model is employed in a situation where a server node receives requests from any number of client nodes...the remote sender stream object 1002 is used by the client application to send the request and receive the

Art Unit: 2126

reply, while the remote receiver stream object 1004 is used by the server application to receive the request and send the reply; col. 15, lines 23 - 36].

- 17. As to claim 9, Goldsmith teaches the first application sends asynchronous notifications to the second application [latter model supports asynchronous transmission and reception of data, and supports full duplex communications; col. 15, lines 13 22].
- 18. As to claim 11, Goldsmith teaches a second application [task application 754, Fig. 7; col. 11, lines 45 60] having a second mediation module adapted to receive the stream protocol [a task application 754 communicates with the CSF interface 760 by creating an RPC object 756 and an API object 758 within its process address space 752; col. 11, lines 45 60].
- 19. As to claim 12, Goldsmith teaches the first and second mediation modules are adapted to mediate communication between said first and second applications [networking service facility (NSF) interface for implementing communication between application programs residing in client and server nodes of a distributed services network; col. 4, lines 43 57].
- 20. As to claim 13, this is rejected for the same reasons as claim 2 above.

Art Unit: 2126

21. As to claim 14, Goldsmith teaches the second mediation module [RPC object 756 and an API object 758], linked to the second application [task application 754, Fig. 7; col. 11, lines 45 – 60], is provided communication parameters relating to the first mediation module [API transport objects are used to ensure consistent formats for the presentation of that request data between the address spaces; col. 12, lines 20 – 32], linked to the first application [application 714, Fig. 7; col. 11, lines 8 – 19].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 22. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 23. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldsmith in view of in view of "A zero generated code XPConnect proposal" (hereinafter Bandhauer).
- 24. As to claim 15, Goldsmith teaches C++ applications [C++ programming language using object-oriented programming techniques; col. 7, lines 23 47] but does not teach Java applications.

However, Bandhauer teaches XPConnect glue [intermediary protocol] that enables JavaScript code [Java application] to call across XPCOM [second protocol]

Art Unit: 2126

interfaces into C++ objects and also C++ code to call across XPCOM interfaces into JavaScript objects [p.1, Introduction].

25. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of providing a XPConnect glue that enables JavaScript code to call across XPCOM interfaces as taught by Bandhauer to the invention of Goldsmith because this permits software reuse by allowing programs that support newer protocols such as XPCOM to communicate with programs that support older protocols such as JavaScript.

Conclusion

- 26. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent NO. 6,345,315 to Mishra teaches a segue component for transferring data between a client and server such that the transfer is platform- and protocol-independent.
- 27. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3406. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Fri, 8:30am 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Li B. Zhen Examiner Art Unit 2126

lbz June 26, 2004

MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100